

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/461,974	12/15/1999	DONALD L. HOFFMAN	082225.P2392	4506
75	90 03/19/2004	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL A		HYUN, SOON D		
	KOLOFF TAYLOR & ZA RE BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEVENTH FLO		2663	7	
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 900251026		DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Applicatio	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/461,97	4	HOFFMAN, DONALD L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Soon-Dong		2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication.  O) days, a reply within the statu atutory period will apply and will will. by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status		•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 15 December 19	9 <u>9</u> .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
		g in the application					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-30</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
′=	☐ Claim(s) is/arc anowed.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>18-20,22-24 and 26</u> is/are rejected.						
·	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>7-2-2,22 2+ and 23</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>2-4,8-17,21,25 and 27-30</u> is/are objected to.</li> </ul>						
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict		quirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
		e Evaminer					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
ŕ		,					
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	f f to a characteristic	25 H C C C 440/a	) (d) == (f)			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmer	(d/a)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2663

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 8-10, and 18-22 are objected to because of the following informalities.

Claims 2, 8-10, and 18-22 are objected to because all instances of "configured to" in each claim should have been deleted to make the claim positive. Language such as "adapted to/for", configured to/for", or arranged to/for" (or similar phrases such as "enabled to", "capable of", etc.) Is not considered positive recitation per MPEP 2106.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2663

4. Claims 18-20, 22-24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Punj et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,150,358) in view of Turner (U.S. Patent No. 5,402,415).

Punj discloses an ATM switch handling multiple priorities for an ATM cell being output from a network element (a switch) on at least two output ports (120 in FIG. 1), each of the output port comprising:

a plurality of output queues (Q1-Q3) at each output port, each output queue having a unique priority (priority level 1 to 3, respectively);

a memory (a routing table) to output priority information associated with the cell indicating to which output port queue at each output port the cell will be directed. The routing table is not clearly shown, but the table is inherently required for switching. During a call setup process, a virtual circuit information and a peak rate information are provided with a call setup request and thus, a priority information corresponding to the peak rate and the virtual circuit information are stored in a memory for switching, see FIG. 2 and 3;

a central processing unit coupled to the memory (122); and a computer program mechanism coupled to the central processing unit and to override the priority information if the flow associated with the cell sends the cells in excess of one or more negotiated parameters, i.e., the priority level is increased (for example, from level 2 to level 1) if the cell in a queue corresponding to the priority level 2 has been enqueued in excess of a predetermined cell time, see col. 3, line 60-col. 4, line 20.

However, Punj doe not teach a switching method for multicasting. Turner discloses an ATM switch for multicasting (FIG. 3C). Those of skill in the art would have been motivated by Turner to multicast an incoming ATM cell of Punj to a plurality of output ports.

Art Unit: 2663

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a multicasting switching method of Turner in the switch of Punj to multicast an ATM cell to a plurality of destinations.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-4, 8-17, 27 and 28 would be allowable if the claim objections are corrected.
- 6. Claims 21, 25, 29, and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon-Dong Hyun whose telephone number is (703) 305-4550. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-5340.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2663

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 for formal communications intended for entry with a label of "OFFICIAL" and for informal or draft communications with a label of "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" (attn: Art Unit 2663, Soon-Dong Hyun).

. Hyun

03/15/2004

PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5